

Durham Research Online

Deposited in DRO:

12 November 2019

Version of attached file:

Accepted Version

Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

Citation for published item:

Chivasa, Stephen and Goodman, Heather L. (2020) 'Stressadaptive gene discovery by exploiting collective decisionmaking of decentralised plant response systems.', *New phytologist.*, 225 (6). pp. 2307-2313.

Further information on publisher's website:

<https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16273>

Publisher's copyright statement:

The definitive version is available at <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16273>

Additional information:

Use policy

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a [link](#) is made to the metadata record in DRO
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the [full DRO policy](#) for further details.

DR STEPHEN CHIVASA (Orcid ID : 0000-0001-9284-4953)

Article type : Commissioned Material – Tansley Insight

Tansley insight

Stress-adaptive gene discovery by exploiting collective decision-making of decentralised plant response systems

Stephen Chivasa and Heather L. Goodman

Department of Biosciences, Durham University, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE, United Kingdom.

Author for correspondence:

Stephen Chivasa

Tel: +44 (0) 191 3341275

Email: stephen.chivasa@durham.ac.uk

Received: 6 August 2019

Accepted: 10 October 2019

ORCID

Stephen Chivasa <https://orcid.org/0000-00019284-4953>

Total word count for main body of text	2500
Summary word count	119
No. of Figures	3 (all colour)
No. of Tables	1
No. of boxes	0
No. of Supporting Information files	0

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1111/NPH.16273](https://doi.org/10.1111/NPH.16273)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Contents

Summary

- I. Introduction
- II. Intercellular signalling – where a single cell can call the shots
- III. Extracellular signalling – a more democratic decision-making process
- IV. Exploiting extracellular signalling for gene discovery in drought
- V. Conclusions

Acknowledgements

References

Summary

Despite having a network of cytoplasmic interconnections (plasmodesmata) facilitating rapid exchange of metabolites and signal molecules, plant cells use the extracellular matrix as an alternative route for cell-cell communication. The need for extracellular signalling in plasmodesmata-networked tissues is baffling. We propose the hypothesis that this phenomenon defines the plant extracellular matrix as a “democratic space” for collective decision-making in a decentralised system, similar to quorum-sensing in bacteria. Extracellular communication enables signal integration and coordination across several cell layers through ligand-activated plasma membrane receptors. Recent results from drought stress-adaptive responses and light-mediated signalling in cell death activation show operational utility of this decision-making process. We discuss opportunities for new innovations in drought gene discovery using platforms targeting the extracellular matrix.

Key words: Extracellular matrix, cell-cell communication, extracellular signalling, receptor-like kinases, decision-making, drought signalling, plasmodesmata

I. Introduction

Although capable of solitary existence, prokaryotic cells in a colony often work cooperatively to trigger synergistic responses benefitting the entire community (Whiteley *et al.*, 2017). Similarly, eukaryotic cells in a tissue work cooperatively to ensure the correct developmental processes are activated and appropriate stress response programmes are timeously deployed. These collaborations are underpinned by complex cell-cell communications. An understanding of how cells interact with each other in the context of their immediate physicochemical environment can provide fundamental insights into how biological systems work and spark new ideas for new biotech innovations.

Plant cells have cytoplasmic interconnections (plasmodesmata) enabling rapid exchange of metabolites and propagation of signal molecules to neighbouring cells. The cellular networks in different tissues and organs are interlinked by phloem across the entire plant body. This enables proximal and distal signal transmission for coordinating growth processes and stress-adaptive responses. Restriction of plasmodesmata-dependent transfer of molecules ≥ 524 Da, by mutation of the plasmodesmata size exclusion limit-regulatory *DECREASED SIZE EXCLUSION LIMIT 1* (*DSE*) gene, triggers growth retardation and formation of defective floral organs in *dse1* Arabidopsis mutants (Xu *et al.*, 2012). Impedance of diverse plasmodesmata-routed molecules (reviewed by Sager and Lee, 2018), such as transcription factors (Sessions *et al.*, 2000; Wu *et*

al., 2003) gene regulatory RNAs (Xoconostle-Cázares *et al.*, 1999; Klahre *et al.*, 2002) and other proteins (Ishiwatari *et al.*, 1998) may underpin the extreme phenotype of plasmodesmata constriction. Despite the availability of such a well-developed symplastic transport network, plants additionally use extracellular signalling via the apoplast.

Signalling initiated by extracellular microbial ligands binding to plant cell surface receptors characterises many interactions with symbiotic partners or pathogenic microbes. Nevertheless, extracellular signalling between plant cells via secreted signals acting on plasma membrane receptors has emerged in diverse processes, such as maintenance of apical meristem cell populations (Li *et al.*, 2017), immune responses (Zhang *et al.*, 2018), and responses to wounding (Toyota *et al.*, 2018; Hander *et al.*, 2019; Huo *et al.*, 2019). Furthermore, apoplast transport and propagation of signal molecules with intracellular receptors and/or targets has also been reported. For example salicylic acid (Lim *et al.*, 2016) and glutamate (Wudick *et al.*, 2018) are transported via the apoplast even though the target/receptor proteins may be intracellular. While the need for cell surface signalling in plant interactions with microbes is clear, the advantages of apoplast signal transmission are not obvious. This article highlights occurrence of this phenomenon in plant responses to abiotic factors (drought and light) and discusses how similarities with prokaryotic signalling systems support a new hypothesis to rationalise extracellular signalling. Framing research questions using this hypothesis could redirect focus to the emerging importance of the plant extracellular matrix, yielding tremendous insights to understand complex plant physiological processes.

II. Intercellular signalling – where a single cell can call the shots

Cytoplasmic signalling enables a single cell to initiate signalling and control physiological processes, as demonstrated by some multicellular photosynthetic prokaryotic systems, which can fix nitrogen. Nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria in the genus *Anabaena* grow as filaments consisting of chains of pluripotent photosynthetic cells when supplied with sufficient nitrate or ammonium in the growth medium (Abedi *et al.*, 2019a). Nitrogen limitation activates differentiation of one in every 10-20 photosynthetic cells into thick-walled heterocysts, which are capable of fixing atmospheric nitrogen (Abedi *et al.*, 2019b). Because N-fixing nitrogenase enzymes are sensitive to O₂, photosynthesis in the heterocysts is diminished and thick cell walls form a diffusion barrier to exclude dissolved oxygen uptake. The photosynthetic cells exchange sugars and nutrients for nitrates with heterocysts through septal junctions, which are plasmodesmata equivalent structures (Nieves-Morion *et al.*, 2017).

Although all vegetative cells are capable of differentiating into heterocysts, tightly regulated cell-cell signalling along the filament controls the process to ensure only a few cells undergo transformation (Fig. 1). Sensing nitrogen deficiency requires the transcriptional regulator NtcA, which activates the master regulator (*hetR*) controlling vegetative cell differentiation into heterocysts. A positive feedback loop between HetR and NtcA amplifies the signal, with HetR ultimately activating many genes required for the morphological and metabolic transformation to heterocysts. Among the downstream genes activated by the transcriptional factor HetR are genes encoding two regulatory proteins PatS and HetN (Muñoz-García and Ares, 2016). Both PatS and HetN possess the bioactive pentapeptide RGSGR, which is transported from the emerging heterocyst to neighbouring vegetative cells to suppress their differentiation into heterocysts. The pentapeptide directly binds to HetR (Hu *et al.*, 2015), destabilising its interactions with DNA sequences to inhibit establishment of the transcriptome necessary for vegetative cell morphogenesis into heterocysts. PatS inhibits proximal vegetative cell differentiation in the immediate aftermath of nitrogen step-down, while HetN is deployed at later time points to maintain the status quo (Muñoz-García and Ares, 2016).

The bioactive peptide has a long-range inhibitory activity, suppressing differentiation of ~10-20 vegetative cells on both flanks of the producing heterocyst. This example illustrates cell communication in a simple multicellular prokaryotic system, where a single cell “makes decisions” that direct cell fate of its neighbours and influence what happens to the entire filament. The signal (RGSGR pentapeptide) is generated by the single preheterocyst/heterocyst cell and transported presumably via septal junctions to vegetative cells in the neighbourhood (Nieves-Morion *et al.*, 2017). While this type of signalling is suited for rapid metabolic adjustments in cyanobacterial filaments to exploit the changing nutrient profile of their fairly homogenous aquatic environment, such a strategy might not always be appropriate for land plants that have to contend with the heterogeneous soil substratum and canopy growth habit creating variable microenvironments across different parts of the same plant. Thus, for plants certain “decisions” have to be made on the basis of signals from several cells to ensure that the response reflects the needs of the entire tissue/plant. While the symplastic pathway could efficiently route signals from multiple cells, the danger is that a minimum of a single cell is enough to stimulate the response. This would not be problematic in the case of pathogen defence, as plants with early detection and swift responses are likely to be successful in repelling pathogen attack. However, in response to aerial or subterranean abiotic stresses, a different approach is required due to heterogeneity characterising these environments.

III. Extracellular signalling – a more democratic decision-making process

Quorum sensing bacteria demonstrate a prokaryotic cell communication system that entails signal transmission by a majority of individual cells in a community to synchronise collective response when a signal threshold has been attained (reviewed by Mukherjee and Bassler, 2019). The cells secrete a signal molecule known as an autoinducer, which binds to specific plasma membrane receptors to activate intracellular signalling when a sufficiently high cell density has been reached. It is not coincidence that the signal is secreted into the extracellular space, and is subsequently perceived by receptors localised at the cell surface. This caters for integration of signal molecules from multiple cells in the same space, thereby “democratising” decision-making. Sensing the signal at the cell surface allows all cells in the community sharing the same volumetric space to be synchronously activated by ligand molecules with no diffusion barrier as is the case for intracellular receptors. This community-wide coordination is invoked in physiological processes, such as biofilm formation, bioluminescence, and production of virulence factors during host tissue invasion. Solitary bacterial cells would not be able to perform such processes. We propose that the extracellular matrix provides plants with a functional mechanism to modulate cooperative signalling and benefit from quorum processes in a similar fashion to quorum sensing seen in some prokaryotes.

Plants operate a decentralised system in which “decisions” for certain physiological processes are undertaken locally and the response restricted to tissues in the vicinity of the primary stimulus. However, certain “decisions” require propagation to the entire plant body, exacting an enormous energy burden that could be catastrophic if repeatedly deployed on false alarm. We contend that by integrating signals arising from several cells in the apoplast, a more representative response averaged across cells located in different micro-environments is triggered. For example, the drought response is initiated in the roots by sensing the soil water deficit followed by activation of biosynthesis of a mobile signal to trigger adaptive responses in aerial organs (Takahashi *et al.*, 2018). Secreted signal integration in the apoplast would dampen-down unrepresentative spurious signals emanating from roots in contact with drier soil clumps or air pocket in an otherwise reasonably watered environment.

For quorum sensing to work, the effective concentration (EC) giving half-maximal response (EC₅₀) of the autoinducer is set high to ensure that only in high density bacterial populations, which accumulate a high secreted ligand concentration, is the physiological response activated. The EC₅₀ of an agonist is the molar concentration producing 50% of the maximal possible

stimulatory/inhibitory effect in a biological system (Neubig *et al.*, 2003). In this context, it provides a relative measure of the concentration at which receptors become responsive to cognate ligands. The EC₅₀ of autoinducer-1 (3-hydroxybutanoyl homoserine lactone) of *Vibrio harveyi* is 23 nM, which similarly is in the nanomolar-micromolar range as secreted plant signalling ligands, such as extracellular ATP (EC₅₀ 2.6 μ M), extracellular NAD⁺ (EC₅₀ > 436.5 nM), and brassinosteroids (EC₅₀ ~50-100 nM) (Table 1). Even peptide signals secreted into the extracellular matrix have EC₅₀ values in the nanomolar range, for example INFLORESCENCE DEFICIENT IN ABSCISSION (IDA), the peptide controlling Arabidopsis floral abscission through plasma membrane receptor signalling has an EC₅₀ of 33 nM (Table 1). It stands to reason that extracellular plant cell signalling requires a high EC₅₀ for secreted signals to ensure that signal molecules from several cells are integrated prior to physiological stimulation. In contrast, pathogen- or plant-derived ligands associated with defence tend to have relatively low EC₅₀ values (in the picomolar range), presumably because single cell detection and signal activation are desirable to mount a swift robust defence. For example systemin, the wound-induced defence signal, and the pattern-triggered immunity-inducing 22-amino acid peptide of flagellin (flg22) have EC₅₀ values ~30 pM, while the bioactive 18-amino acid residue peptide (elf18) of bacterial ELONGATION FACTOR-Tu activates plant defences at an EC₅₀ of 300 pM (Table 1).

IV. Exploiting extracellular signalling for gene discovery in drought

The recent publication by Takahashi *et al.* (2018) shows that water deficit perception in roots leads to root production of a secreted mobile peptide signal, CLAVATA3/EMBRYO-SURROUNDING REGION-RELATED 25 (CLE25), and its export to leaves. On arrival in leaves, the CLE25 peptide signals via plasma membrane BARLEY ANY MERISTEM (BAM) receptors to modulate abscisic acid production and stomatal control. This study confirms that extracellular signalling is quite important and is likely invoked to ensure signal integration across root tissue in the highly heterogeneous rhizosphere to avoid unnecessary activation of drought stress responses. Sorghum cell cultures exposed to osmotic stress activated increased protein secretion, with the level of expression of the encoding genes showing a strong positive correlation with the level of drought-tolerance achievable across different sorghum lines (Ngara *et al.*, 2018). These results suggest that osmotic stress-induced gene expression and a surge in protein secretion could play a wider role in drought stress-adaptive responses. Most of these proteins have not been biochemically characterised, but could turn out to be pivotal signal-regulatory proteins.

To exploit the propensity of plant cells for collective decision-making via the extracellular matrix in gene discovery, we are intercepting secreted metabolites and proteins to identify core cell death-regulatory proteins. Cell death induced by heavy metal ions (Wang *et al.*, 2013), a mycotoxin (Stone *et al.*, 2000), or herbicide (Chivasa and González-Torralva, 2018), is blocked by dark-incubation, suggesting the existence of a shared light-dependent pathway or critical components. The mycotoxin fumonisin B1 (FB1) activates cell death in light-grown *Arabidopsis* cell cultures, with dark-grown cultures showing immunity (Fig. 2A). However, when the growth medium from light-grown cultures is applied to dark-grown cells, the latter behave like light-grown cells and become susceptible to FB1, even though they are exposed to the toxin under dark incubation (Fig. 2A). These results show that light-dependent secreted extracellular signals/factors control the response of cells to FB1 and ongoing research focuses on identifying putative candidate signals secreted in the extracellular matrix. We expect such signals to be secreted in light-grown, but not dark-grown cell cultures, and to be possibly responsive to FB1 addition. A candidate gene with such an expression profile is *CYCLASE1*, which encodes a protein secreted into the extracellular matrix (Smith *et al.*, 2015). *CYCLASE1* is up-regulated by FB1 treatment only in light-grown, but not dark-grown cells (Fig. 2B). Our previous results have demonstrated that loss-of-function gene knockout (*cyclase1*) mutants are immune to FB1-induced cell death (Smith *et al.*, 2015), suggesting that *CYCLASE1* is part of the secreted machinery controlling *Arabidopsis* response to FB1.

We have interests in understanding drought stress-adaptive responses in plants. Together with studying cell death in response to FB1, we also focus on investigating programmed cell death invoked during drought-adaptive responses as a way to reconfigure root architecture by killing upper lateral roots to promote deeper root growth in pursuit of the receding water table. It remains to be seen if the secreted signals used in controlling FB1-induced cell death have any relevance in drought-induced cell death. Our preliminary data using *CYCLASE1* show a strong response of this gene to drought and osmotic stress (data not shown). Thus, we predict studying the extracellular matrix on the basis of the hypothesis proposed here could facilitate gene discovery in many plant stress-adaptive processes, including drought.

V. Conclusions

Cells in tissues constantly communicate with their neighbours, transmitting positional information, signals about their metabolic status, and their perception of the external environment. A surge in cell-cell communication precedes adaptation to stress, with either the symplast or apoplast route providing signal passage. The symplast pathway can theoretically enable a single cell to initiate

and propagate signalling (Fig. 3A). We contend that a more democratic decision-making process is invoked by routing signals via the apoplast (Fig. 3B), particularly in response to heterogeneous abiotic factors, such as light and water availability. While it is vital to set very low signal intensity thresholds for activating pathogen/pest counter-measures at the behest of a single or few cells, a much higher threshold is necessary for signal integration across a broad swathe of cells. This bodes well with the use of metabolomics and proteomics as tools to analyse extracellular signals in the run up to stress adaptation. We predict that targeting the extracellular compartment for discovery of new metabolites, peptides, and signal-regulatory proteins important in growth and stress-adaptive responses could yield very exciting results.

Discovery of plant extracellular vesicles carrying cargo normally localised in the cytoplasm (Baldrich *et al.*, 2019) may define a previously unforeseen additional pathway for exchange of signal molecules and signal integration within the extracellular space. Furthermore, identification of plasma membrane receptors for extracellular ATP (Choi *et al.*, 2014) and extracellular NAD⁺ (Wang *et al.*, 2017) is an indication that metabolite signals thought to be exclusively cytosolic may turn out to have authentic extracellular targets. Taken together, this shows that the plant extracellular matrix is emerging as a critical hub for signal integration to enable collective decision-making across diverse physiological processes, including stress adaptation.

Acknowledgements

We thank Sepideh Abedi for assistance with designing Figures and J.C. for thorough discussions and helpful suggestions. We acknowledge support from the Gatsby foundation, BBSRC (grants BB/N012623/1 and BB/MO28429/1) and The Royal Society (grant NA160140). Durham University provided a PhD studentship to HLG. We dedicate this article to the memory of Toni Slabas.

References

1. Abedi S, Astarai FR, Ghobadian B, Tavakoli O, Jalili H, Greenwell HC, Cummins I, Chivasa S. 2019a. Decoupling a novel *Trichormus variabilis*-*Synechocystis* sp. interaction to boost phycoremediation. *Scientific Reports* **9**: 2511.
2. Abedi S, Astarai FR, Ghobadian B, Tavakoli O, Jalili H, Chivasa S, Greenwell HC. 2019b. Bioenergy production using *Trichormus variabilis* – a review. *Biofuels, Bioproduction and Biorefinery* 10.1002/bbb.2023

3. Baldrich P, Rutter BD, Karimi HZ, Podicheti R, Meyers BC, Innes RW. 2019. Plant extracellular vesicles contain diverse small RNA species and are enriched in 10- to 17-nucleotide “tiny” RNAs. *Plant Cell* **31**: 315-324.
4. Butenko MA, Wildhagen M, Albert M, Jehle A, Kalbacher H, Aalen RB, Felix G. 2014. Tools and strategies to match peptide-ligand receptor pairs. *Plant Cell* **26**: 1838-1847.
5. Chivasa S, González-Torralva F. 2018. Herbicidal compositions containing glyphosate. International Patent No. PCT/GB2017/053082. Retrieved from <https://patentscope.wipo.int/search/en/detail.jsf?docId=WO2018069709>
6. Chivasa S, Ndimba BK, Simon W., Lindsey K, Slabas AR. 2005. Extracellular ATP functions as an endogenous external metabolite regulating plant cell viability. *Plant Cell* **17**: 3019–3034.
7. Choi J, Tanaka K, Cao Y, Qi Y, Qiu J, Liang Y, Lee SY, Stacey G. 2014. Identification of a plant receptor for extracellular ATP. *Science* **343**: 290-294.
8. Clouse SD, Langford M, McMorris TC. 1996. A brassinosteroid-insensitive mutant in *Arabidopsis thaliana* exhibits multiple defects in growth and development. *Plant Physiol.* **111**: 671-678.
9. Demidchik V, Nichols C, Oliynyk M, Dark A, Glover BJ, Davies JM. 2003. Is ATP a signalling agent in plants? *Plant Physiol.* **133**: 456–461.
10. Felix G, Duran JD, Volko S, Boller T. 1999. Plants have a sensitive perception system for the most conserved domain of bacterial flagellin. *The Plant Journal* **18**: 265–276.
11. Hander T, Fernández-Fernández ÁD, Kumpf RP, Willems P, Schatowitz H, Rombaut D, Staes A, Nolf J, Pottier R, Yao P *et al.* 2019. Damage on plants activates Ca²⁺-dependent metacaspases for release of immunomodulatory peptides. *Science* **363**: eaar7486.
12. Hou S, Liu Z, Shen H, Wu D. 2019. Damage-associated molecular pattern-triggered immunity in plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **10**: 646.
13. Hu H-X., Jiang Y-L, Zhao M-X, Cai K, Liu S, Wen B, Lv P, Zhang Y, Peng J, Zhong H *et al.* 2015. Structural insights into HetR-PatS interaction involved in cyanobacterial pattern formation. *Scientific Reports* **5**:16470.
14. Ishiwatari Y, Fujiwara T, McFarland KC, Nemoto K, Hayashi H, Chino M, Lucas WJ. 1998. Rice phloem thioredoxin h has the capacity to mediate its own cell-to-cell transport through plasmodesmata. *Planta* **205**: 12-22.
15. Klahre U, Crété P, Leuenberger SA, Iglesias VA, Meins F Jr. 2002. High molecular weight RNAs and small interfering RNAs induce systemic posttranscriptional gene silencing in plants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **99**:11981–11986.

16. Li Z, Chakraborty S, Xu G. 2017. Differential CLE peptide perception by plant receptors implicated from structural and functional analyses of TDIF-TDR interactions. *PLoS ONE* **12**: e0175317.
17. Lim G-H, Kachroo A, Kachroo P. 2016. Role of plasmodesmata and plasmodesmata localizing proteins in systemic immunity, *Plant Signaling & Behavior* **11**: e1219829.
18. Mukherjee S, Bassler B. 2019. Bacterial quorum sensing in complex and dynamically changing environments. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **17**: 371–382.
19. Muñoz-García J, Ares S. 2016. Formation and maintenance of nitrogen-fixing cell patterns in filamentous cyanobacteria. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **113**: 6218-6223.
20. Nam KH, Li J. 2002. BRI1/BAK1, a receptor kinase pair mediating brassinosteroid signalling. *Cell* **110**: 203–212.
21. Neubig RR, Spedding M, Kenakin T, Christopoulos A. 2003. International Union of Pharmacology Committee on Receptor Nomenclature and Drug Classification. XXXVIII. Update on terms and symbols in quantitative pharmacology. *Pharmacological Reviews* **55**: 597-606.
22. Ngara R, Ramulifho E, Movahedi, M, Shargie NG, Brown AP, Chivasa S. 2018. Identifying differentially expressed proteins in sorghum cell cultures exposed to osmotic stress. *Scientific Reports* **8**: 8671.
23. Nieves-Mori6n M, Mullineaux CW, Floresa W. 2017. Molecular diffusion through cyanobacterial septal junctions. *mBio* **8**: e01756-16.
24. Sager R.E., Lee J.-Y. 2018. Plasmodesmata at a glance. *Journal of Cell Science* **131**: jcs209346.
25. Schwessinger B, Bahar O, Thomas N, Holton N, Nekrasov V, Ruan D, Canlas PE, Daudi A, Petzold CJ, Singan VR *et al.* 2015. Transgenic expression of the dicotyledonous pattern recognition receptor EFR in rice leads to ligand-dependent activation of defense responses. *PLOS Pathogens* **10**:1371/journal.ppat.100480.
26. Sessions A, Yanofsky MF, Weigel D. 2000. Cell–cell signalling and movement by the floral transcription factors LEAFY and APETALA1. *Science* **289**: 779–781.
27. Smith SJ, Kroon JT, Simon WJ, Slabas AR, Chivasa S. 2015. A Novel function for Arabidopsis CYCLASE1 in programmed cell death revealed by isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantitation (iTRAQ) analysis of extracellular matrix proteins. *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics* **14**: 1556-1568.

28. Swem LR, Swem DL, Wingren ND, Bassler BL. 2008. Deducing receptor signaling parameters from in vivo analysis: LuxN/AI-1 quorum sensing in *Vibrio harveyi*. *Cell* **134**: 461-473.
29. Stone JM, Heard JE, Asai T, Ausubel FM. 2000. Simulation of fungal-mediated cell death by fumonisin B1 and selection of *fumonisin B1-resistant (fbr)* Arabidopsis mutants. *Plant Cell* **12**: 1811–1822.
30. Takahashi F, Suzuki T, Osakabe Y, Betsuyaku S, Kondo Y, Dohmae N, Fukuda H, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K. (2018). A small peptide modulates stomatal control via abscisic acid in long-distance signalling. *Nature* **556**: 235-238.
31. Toyota M, Spencer D, Sawai-Toyota S, Jiaqi W, Zhang T, Koo AJ, Howe GA, Gilroy S. 2018. Glutamate triggers long-distance, calcium-based plant defense signalling. *Science* **361**: 1112-1115.
32. Wang L, Einig E, Almeida-Trapp M, Albert M, Fliegmann J, Mithöfer A, Kalbacher H, Felix G. 2018. The systemin receptor SYR1 enhances resistance of tomato against herbivorous insects. *Nature Plants* **4**: 152-156.
33. Wang Y, Slabas AR, Chivasa S. 2013. Proteomics reveals new insights into the role of light in cadmium response in *Arabidopsis thaliana* cell suspension cultures. *Proteomics* **13**: 1145-1158.
34. Wang C, Zhou M, Zhang X, Yao J, Zhang Y, and Mou Z. 2017. A lectin receptor kinase as a potential sensor for extracellular nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *eLife* **6**: e25474.
35. Whiteley M, Diggle SP, Greenberg EP. 2017. Progress in and promise of bacterial quorum sensing research. *Nature* **551**: 313-320.
36. Wu X, Dinneny JR, Crawford KM, Rhee Y, Citovsky V, Zambryski PC, Weigel D. 2003. Modes of intercellular transcription factor movement in the Arabidopsis apex. *Development* **130**: 3735-45.
37. Wudick MM, Portes MT, Michard E, Rosas-Santiago P, Lizzio MA, Nunes CO, Campos C, Damineli DSC, Carvalho JC, Lima PT *et al.* 2018. CORNICHON sorting and regulation of GLR channels underlie pollen tube Ca²⁺ homeostasis. *Science* **360**: 533–536.
38. Xoconostle-Cázares B, Xiang Y, Ruiz-Medrano R, Wang HL, Monzer J, Yoo BC, McFarland KC, Franceschi VR, Lucas WJ. 1999. Plant paralog to viral movement protein that potentiates transport of mRNA into the phloem. *Science* **283**: 94-8.
39. Xu M, Cho E, Burch-Smith TM, Zambryski PC. 2012. Plasmodesmata formation and cell-to-cell transport are reduced in *decreased size exclusion limit 1* during embryogenesis in Arabidopsis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **109**: 5098-5103.

40. Zhang H, Hu Z, Lei C, Zheng C, Wang J, Shao S, Li X, Xia X, Cai X, Zhou J *et al.* 2018. A plant phytosulfokine peptide initiates auxin-dependent immunity through cytosolic Ca^{2+} signalling in tomato. *Plant Cell* **30**: 652-667.

Table 1. Characteristics of plant and microbial ligand-receptor pairs in cell-cell signalling.

Ligand ¹	Origin	Receptor(s)	EC50 ²	Reference
flg22	Bacterial	FLS2	30 pM	Felix <i>et al.</i> , 1999
elf18	Bacterial	EFR1	300 pM	Schweissinger <i>et al.</i> , 2015
AI-1	Bacterial	LuxN	23 nM	Swem <i>et al.</i> , 2008
IDA	Plant	HAE/HSL2	33 nM	Butenko <i>et al.</i> , 2014
Systemin	Plant	SYR1/SYR2	30 pM	Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2018
Extracellular NAD ⁺	Plant	LecRK-1.8	>436.5 nM	Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2017
Extracellular ATP	Plant	DORN1	2.6 µM	Demidchik <i>et al.</i> , 2003
Brassinosteroids	Plant	BRI1	50-100 nM	Clouse <i>et al.</i> , 1996 Nam and Li, 2002

¹flg22, the bioactive 22 amino acid peptide of bacterial flagellin; elf18, the bioactive 18-amino acid peptide of bacterial elongation factor-Tu; AI-1, autoinducer-1 (3-hydroxybutanoyl homoserine lactone) from *Vibrio harveyi*; IDA, inflorescence deficient in abscission peptide. Ligand-receptor pairs were selected from the literature on the basis of having a published EC50 value and the receptor being on the plasma membrane.

²EC, effective concentration; EC50, effective concentration activating half maximal (50%) response.

Figure 1. Schematic representation of heterocyst differentiation in filamentous cyanobacteria. Depletion of combined nitrogen in the growth medium triggers metabolic accumulation of 2-oxoglutarate, which leads to activation of two key transcription factors, NtcA and HetR. NtcA activates transcription of *HetR*, which in turn activates *NtcA* transcription to amplify the signal. HetR directly binds to promoter sequences of downstream genes responsible for differentiation of the vegetative cell to a heterocyst. However, two genes downstream of HetR encode regulatory proteins (PatS and HetN), which diffuse into neighbouring vegetative cells where the bioactive pentapeptide (RGSGR) directly binds HetR to inhibit activation of gene expression. The mechanism by which HetR in the producing cell is not inhibited by PatS and HetN proteins derived from the same cell is not currently known. PatS is produced early during heterocyst differentiation while HetN is produced after differentiation is complete, to perpetuate inhibition of neighbouring vegetative cells from developing into heterocysts. Black lines connect interlinked components/processes, with the arrow pointing at the component/process activated by the preceding factor; dashed red lines with arrows indicate that PatS and HetN move out from the producing pre-heterocyst; dashed red lines with a “T-junction” at the end indicate that PatS and HetN move into neighbouring vegetative cells to bind and inhibit HetR. The red lines do not chart the path of movement of PatS and HetN. Both proteins and/or the derived bioactive peptides are suspected to move from cell-to-cell via the septal junctions.

Figure 2. Secreted light-dependent signals regulate FB1-induced cell death. (a) Arabidopsis cell cultures were grown as described previously (Smith *et al.*, 2015) in light or darkness and then treated with 2 μ M fumonisin B1 (FB1). After 72 h, a lawn of cells analysed for cell death using the MTT assay (Chivasa *et al.*, 2005) was photographed. Living cells convert the MTT solution to purple, while dead cells remain cream-coloured and unstained. FB1 killed cells incubated in the light, with dark-incubated cells showing immunity. However, when dark-grown cells had their growth medium removed and replaced with medium in which light-grown cells had been growing, they lost their immunity to the mycotoxin and died even though they were incubated in the dark. This shows that light-dependent signals secreted into the medium control Arabidopsis response to FB1. (b) Expression of *CYCLASE1* gene in FB1-treated light-grown or dark-grown cell cultures, showing significant suppression of the gene in darkness. Arabidopsis *cyclase1* knockout mutants are immune to FB1 (Smith *et al.*, 2015). This confirms the importance of light-dependent secretions into the apoplast during stress responses. Quantitative PCR was conducted as described before (Ngara *et al.*, 2018) using At3g13920 and At3g18780 as constitutive reference

control genes. Bars are mean \pm SD ($n = 3$). Asterisks indicate significant fold-change differences between light- and dark-grown cells ($p < 0.001$).

Figure 3. Cell-cell signalling via the symplast and apoplast. (a) Intracellular signalling can be initiated in a single cell or a few cells and relies on signal diffusion between adjacent cells via plasmodesmata. In this schematic, signalling molecules are produced by the cell in red colour and propagated to all its neighbours. In fairly homogenous systems, activation of physiological processes by a single cell does not run the risk of triggering false alarms and costly energy diversion because what the single cell has sensed is representative of the environment and the corresponding response is appropriate for the plant. For example, turbulence from wind action and waves can mix the water in aquatic systems, creating a fairly homogenous environment with respect to nutrient distribution. (b) Extracellular signalling initiated by multiple cells enables integration of signal molecules in the extracellular matrix, which then activate receptors on the cell surfaces to ensure a synchronous response. This type of signalling is more appropriate under heterogeneous environments within the soil or plant canopy. For example, a thick plant canopy is highly heterogeneous in terms of the amount of light penetrating through it, with leaves on the top or flanks of the canopy receiving more light than leaves inside the canopy. We argue that the scenario in (a) is used when, as an example, an incompatible pathogen is detected. Theoretically, the stylet of a viruliferous aphid can deliver pathogenic viral particles in a single cell where activation of a hypersensitive response and systemic acquired resistance can be initiated. In this scenario, the energy cost associated with a swift response to immunise the entire plant against subsequent pathogen attack is a necessary investment. We additionally argue that the scenario in (b) is used as a way to reduce or avoid triggering a costly response, such as a drought response on the basis of, for example, part of a root hair entering a dry air pocket while the rest of the plant is adequately watered. Please note that epidermal cells have been omitted from the schematic diagrams to draw attention to the extracellular matrix (ECM) space.




Figure 1

Tansley Insight 30844




Figure 2

Tansley Insight 30844




Figure 3
Tansley Insight 30844